INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

MCDR INSPECTION REPORT

Nagpur regional office

Mine file No : MAH/CND/FL-1/NGP Mine code : 24MSH08001

(i) Name of the Inspecting : M017) ASHISH MISHRA

Officer and ID No.

(ii) Designation : Assistant Controller Mine

(iii) Accompaning mine : Shri Vilas Jogi, Mines Manager

Official with Designation

(iv) Date of Inspection : 17/03/2017
(v) Prev.inspection date : 16/09/2006

PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

. (a) Mine Name : DONGARGAON

(b) Registration NO. : IBM/5319/2011

(c) Category : B Manual
(d) Type of Working : Opencast

(e) Postal address

State : MAHARASHTRA
District : CHANDRAPUR
Village : DONGARGAON
Taluka : WARORA

Post office : DONGARGAON(RLY)

Pin Code

FAX No. : (0712)-234239

E-mail :

Phone : 232775(M. D.),233101,23341!

(f) Police Station

(g) First opening date : 19/07/1989

(h) Weekly day of rest : SUN

Address for
 3RD FLOOR, UDYOG BHAVAN,

correspondance

CIVIL LINES, NAGPUR-440 001

3. (a) Lease Number : MSH0001 (b) Lease area : 32.7 (c) Period of lease : 20

(d) Date of Expiry : 19/12/2008

4. Mineral worked : FLUORITE Main

5. Name and Address of the

Lessee : M/s Maharashtra State Mining Corporation

5, Abhyankar Nagar Nagpur -10 NAGPUR MAHARASHTRA

Phone: FAX:

Owner : SHRI S.A. TAGDE

CHANDRAPUR MAHARASHTRA

Phone: FAX:

Agent : SHRI P.P. SONI

NAGPUR MAHARASHTRA

Phone: FAX:

Mining Engineer

Name : SHRI S.L. TUPATE, Full Time Qualification : MINE FOREMAN'S CERTIFICATE

Appointment/ : 04/06/2004

Termination date

Geologist

Name : SHRI P.P. SONI, Full Time

Qualification : MSc(Geo.)
Appointment/ : 01/09/2003

Termination date

6. Date of approval of Mining : Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 15/02/2002 Plan/Scheme of Mining : Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 08/07/2008 FMCP under 23C(1) 27/05/2013

FMCP under 23C(1) 27/05/2013 Modif.of approved Mining Plan 19/07/2016

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

| Sl.No. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|---|---|---|
| 1a | Backlog of previous year | Nil | Nil | |
| 1b | Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2 | G1 | G1 | Exploration was earlier done by DGM, Maharashtra. Lessee has drilled additional 7 boreholes in the lease area in 2003-04. |
| 1c | Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year | Nil | Nil | |
| 1d | Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2 | Approximately 10 ha | Approximately 10 ha | Additional exploration has been proposed in form of 09 bore holes to be drilled in 2016-17 and 2017-18. Area for which surface rights are held with lessee has been explored in detail. |
| 1e | Balance reserve as on 01/04/20 | As on 01/04/2016, Balance reserves are: 111-231131 T 121- 63860 T 221- 100000 T | As on 01/04/2016, Balance reserves are: 111-225518 T 121- 63860 T 221- 100000 T | |
| 1f | General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc | | | Most of the area is not under the surface rights of the lessee. The area which is held under the surface rights by the lessee has been explored in detail. Exploration proposals are also done to prove depth consistancy of the deposit. |

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|--------------|-----------------------|--|
| 2a | Location of development w.r.t.lease area | of the | As per the proposals. | |
| 2b | Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15) | Yes | Yes | |
| 2c | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio | No proposal | 1:0.29 | |
| 2d | Quantity of topsoil generation in m3 | No proposal | 696 cuM | |
| 2e | Quantity of overburden generation in m3 | Not Proposed | 696 cuM | Overburden is in form of very thin soil mixed with clay. Hence complete material is being excavated and dumped separately. |
| 2f | General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc | | | Deposit is varying in grade within the lease area and within one working bench. Presently the development is being done in the area already proved through exploration and the development done is satisfactory. |

Exploitation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|--------------|-------------|---|
| 3a | Number of pit proposed for production | One | One | |
| 3b | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed | No proposals | 2333.46 Т | Modification in the approved mining plan was approved on 19/07/2016 and 2015-16 was considered as lapse period. |

| 3c | Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production | 95% | 100% | No dispatch is being done from the lease and complete material is being stacked grade-wise within the lease area. Hence, no such classification has been considered as usable/salable. |
|----|---|---|----------------------|--|
| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation | Not proposed being lapse period | Nil | |
| 3e | Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | |
| 3f | Quantity of sub grade mineral generation. | Nil | Nil | |
| 3g | Grade of sub grade mineral generation | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | |
| 3h | Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM | Manual | Manual | |
| 3i | Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects. | No | No | |
| 3j | Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches | Yes | Yes | As Fluorite occurs within shear zone, therefore, drilling blasting practices are very limited. |
| 3k | Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches | No machinery in mineral Benches except 2 small Tippers/Trucks for transportation of ore to sorting yard | As per the proposals | |

Whether height Yes Yes of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM 3m Total area 1.34 ha 1.34 ha covered under excavation/pits 3n Ore to OB ratio No proposals 1:0.29 for the pit/mine during the year. 30 Total area put Area under As per the proposals in use under pits: 1.34 ha different heads Area under top at the end of soil dump: year 1.70 ha Area under Waste dumps: 0.70 ha Area under mineral storage: 1.02 ha Area under infrastructure : 0.01 ha Area under

> Roads: 0.63 ha Green Belt: 0.35 ha Undisturbed Area: 25.86 Total: 31.22

ha

3 m bench height is proposed and actual bench height is within permissible limits for category B mine.

As the working has been limited to the existing pit, encounter of ore zone caused less Ore to OB ratio.

| 3p | Production of ROM mineral during the last five year period as applicable | 2012-13: 2013-14: 2014-15: | 3020.53 T 3363.54 T 2461.72 T 2946.43 T 2333.46 T |
|----|--|--------------------------------------|---|
| 3q | General remarks of inspecting | | |

Method of mining is manual which is suitable to type of formation (requirement of limited drilling and blasting).

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

officers on

etc.

method of mining

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|-------------|---------|
| 4a | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33) | Yes | Yes | |
| 4b | Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps | Top Soil dumps: Location 1: N300 to N400 8 E700 to E 800 Location 2: N400 to N500 8 E400 to E700 Waste Dump: Location1: N200 to N400 8 E400 to E700 Location 2: N400 to N500 8 E600 to E700 | x | |

Remarks

| 4c | Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area | 3 Top Soil Dumps, 3 Waste Dumps and 2 mineral stack/ ore storage yards- all within lease area | As per the proposed | |
|----|--|---|----------------------------|--|
| 4d | Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16) | All dumps within pit limit | All dumps within pit limit | Reason is not having surface rights for rest of the area. |
| 4e | Number of active and alive dumps. | | As per the proposed | |
| 4f | Number of dead dumps. | 1 waste dump | 1 waste dump | Stabilized through plantation |
| 4g | Number of dumps established. | 1 waste dump | As per the proposed | |
| 4h | Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there. | No | No | As all the dumps are within the pit limits, no garland drain or retaining wall constructed. |
| 4i | Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps | Nil | Nil | |
| 4j | Number of settling ponds | Nil | Nil | |
| 4k | Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management | | | Waste dump management is good; one waste dump has been stabilized through plantation. Rest two dumps are active. Three top soil dumps are there. After acquiring the surface rights for the complete area, proper development and waste management can be ensured. |

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

Propasals Actual work

Sl.No. Item

| 5a | Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling. | Nil | Nil | Area is under exploitation |
|----|---|-----|-----|--|
| 5b | Area under backfilling of mined out area | Nil | Nil | |
| 5c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32) | No | No | |
| 5d | Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated | Nil | Nil | |
| 5e | General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc. | | | There is small scale workings in the area and due to non-acquisition of land, systematic development could not be done on entire lease area. As limited area is available presently, there are no proposals for backfilling and restoration of land. |

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|-----------|---|---------|
| ба | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2). | Yes | Yes | |
| 6b | Area available for rehabilitation (ha) . | Nil | Nil | |
| 6c | afforestation done (ha). | Nil | Green belt: 0.35 ha area covered under afforestation Dump Plantation: 0.15 ha | |

| 6d | No. of saplings planted during the year | Nil | Nil | |
|------------|--|---------------------------|---|--|
| 6e | Cumulative no .of plants | Nil | 830 Saplings | |
| 6f | Any other method of rehabilitation | No | No | |
| 6 g | Cost incurred on watch and care during the year | Nil | Rs 75000/- approximately | |
| 6h | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx B x D | Nil | Nil | |
| 6i | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings | Nil | Nil | |
| 6j | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii)Afforestati on on backfilled area | Nil | Nil | |
| 6k | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir | Nil | Nil | Part of the exploited pit shall be converted into water reservoir at conceptual stage. |
| 61 | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v)any other specific means. | No | No | |
| 6m | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation | Green Belt development | Green belt plantation done on 0.35 ha area. | |

| 6n | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha) | Nil | Nil | |
|----|---|-----|-----|--|
| 60 | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation | Nil | Nil | |
| 6р | Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone) | Yes | Yes | Environment monitoring is being done as per MoEF guidelines. As the water may get contaminated with the Fluoride, water monitoring and water treatment is being done regularly. |
| 6q | General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc. | | | In the progressive closure activities, plantation on dumps and green belt has been done by the lessee. Further, top soil has been stacked separately for future use. Environmental monitoring is being done regularly. |

Mineral Conservation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|--|-------------|---------|
| 7a | ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area | Grade-wise sorting within lease area | As proposed | |
| 7b | Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical. | Manual | Manual | |

| 7c | Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines. | Lumps and fines of various grades | A. Lumps Grades based on percentage of CaF2: 85% & above, 80-85%, 75-80%, 70-75%, 65-70%, 60-65%, 55-60%, 50-55%, 45-50%, 40-45%, 35-40%, 30-35% B. Fines (-25mm size) Grades based on percentage of CaF2: 35-40%, 40-45%, 45-50%, 50-55%, 60-65%, 65-70% Total 18 grades for lumps and fines and rejects with 30-40% CaF2 Lumps is also under provision as and when generated. | |
|----|--|---|---|---|
| 7d | Any beneficiation process at mines | No | No | |
| 7e | General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues | | | Being high valued mineral, mineral conservation is being practiced in true spirits in the mine. Several grades are simultaneously mined for optimal usage/blending. |

Environment:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|-------------|--|--|
| 8a | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32) | removal and | Separate removal and stacking | Top soil dump is available over 1.70 ha area |
| 8b | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil | Storage | Storage of generated top soil is being done. | |
| 8c | Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33) | Yes | Yes | |

Remarks

| 8d | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use | No | No | Restoration of land is proposed in the conceptual stage. |
|----|---|--|--|--|
| 8e | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) | One dump is reclaimed through plantation | One dump is reclaimed through plantation | Pit is under exploitation, therefore, no restoration or reclamation is proposed or done for the pit. Other dumps are active and not proposed for reclamation. |
| 8f | Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41) | Yes | Yes | |
| 8g | Survival rate | 80% | 80% | |
| 8h | Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust | Yes | Yes | |
| 8i | General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area | | | Area around the lease/working pit is under cultivation. Due to small scale workings, not much degradation of environment is observed. For Fluoride, lessee has built up a water treatment plant through which water is being treated and supplied for drinking water purpose to the workers and local residents. |

Propasals Actual work

Compliance of Rule 45:

Item

Sl.No.

| _ | _ | | | |
|----|---|---|---|--|
| 9a | Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns | | Return submission is up to date. Returns are being submitted online. | |
| 9b | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager | Mining Engineer: Shri Vilas Jogi Mining Geologist: Shri Gunwant Wadpalliwarand Shri R. G. Marbate | Mining Engineer: Shri Vilas Jogi Mining Geologist: No geologist is presently appointed as both geologists have resigned from their duties recently. Appointment of geologist is in process and being a SPSU, the matter is forwarded to Board of Directors for approval (as informed by Shri P. Y. Tembhare, GM). | |
| 9c | Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc. | | Correct information furnished. | Spot guidance given. |
| 9d | Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation | Nil | Correct information given. | |
| 9e | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity) | Nil | Correct information furnished | |
| 9f | Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore | Graded Ore | Correct information given. | Generated ROM is stacked as graded ore and therefore, no ROM stock is available. |
| 9g | Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost | | Correct information given as per Rule 45 of MCDR for the cases where no sale has occured. | |
| 9h | Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets | Given for Land, Building and Machinery | Correct information furnished. | |

9k Scrutiny of Annual return on machineries

mining machineries Reported

are: Air Compressor-1 no. of 60 HP, Truck/tippers-2 Nos. of 110 HP, Water pump-2 Nos. of 19 & 5 HP, Jeep-1 No. of

55 HP.

Correct information furnished.

PAGE: 16

| Details of violat violation pointed | _ | rrent i | nspection and | compliance position of | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|---------|---------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Violation observed | | | Show couse position | | |
| Rule NO. | Issued on Compliar | nce on | Rule NO. | Issued on Compliance on | |

Date : (ASHISH MISHRA)

Indian Bureau of Mines